

### **COMPLAINT AND JURY CLAIM**

#### I. JURISDICITION

1. The Court has personal and subject matter jurisdiction over the issues raised in his case pursuant to 28 USC 133 presentment of a Federal Question.

#### II THE PARTIES

- 2. The Plaintiff, Richard Livingston is a natural person residing at all times relevant at 149 Warren Avenue, Milton, County of Norfolk Massachusetts.
- 3. The Defendant, M.B.T.A. Employee's Credit Union is a Credit Union organized and operating under the laws and regulations promulgated by the United States of America and The Commonwealth of Massachusetts with a usual place of business in South Boston, Massachusetts.

## III. GENERAL FACTS AND ALLEGATIONS

- 5. Plaintiff Richard Livingston and his former spouse, Margaret Clifford jointly own property located at 15 Quarry Lane, Town of Milton, County of Norfolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts. holding a mortgage with the defendant MBTA C.U.
- 6. Pursuant to the Judgment of Divorce Ms. Clifford is entitled to reside at the jointly own property located at 15 Quarry Lane, Milton, Massachusetts until the youngest child graduates from High School or attains the age of 18. Following these events the property is to be sold and the proceeds divided between Plaintiff Richard Livingston and Margaret Clifford.
- 7. On May 24, 2001 the Norfolk County and Probate Court entered an order which states "The former wife shall have the right to refinance the first and second mortgages with respect to the former marital home in order to satisfy the existing first and second mortgages and to pay outstanding marital debt if she so elects."
- 8. On May 24, 2001 the Court also ordered "the former husband shall not be required to sign any documents in connection with wife's effort to refinance the outstanding mortgages and existing marital debt."
- 9. The Justice from the bench also ordered orally that the wife would not be able to refinance the property without the signature of the Plaintiff
- 10. In May/June Margaret Clifford the former spouse of Plaintiff Richard Livingston applied for a mortgage with RAH Federal Credit Union and the Chittenden Bank d/b/a The Mortgage Service Center.
- 11. On or before the approval of the mortgage application Defendant MBTA Employees C.U. were aware of the Court Order dated May 24, 2001 which placed restrictions upon the amount of any mortgage, the terms of the mortgage and the purpose for which the proceeds could be used.
- 12. The application for a mortgage was approved.
- 13. On July 27, 2001 a closing took place involving Margaret Clifford the former spouse of Plaintiff Richard Livingston and certain non-arty lending institutions.

- Richard Livingston was at all times relevant a member in continuously 14. good standing and continues to be a member of the credit union in good standing.
- Prior to the closing Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. was contacted 15. and provided a payoff figure in connection with the mortgage applied for by Margaret Clifford.
- 16. Several years prior to this date August 22, 1997 Plaintiff Richard Livingston had requested and a notation was made on the bank records and computer system that no information concerning any of Plaintiff Richard Livingston's financial matters involving the credit union were to be release to any party without notifying him first and receiving his permission.
- The Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. did not obtain a release from 17. Plaintiff Richard Livingston before releasing private and confidential information.
- 18. The Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. did not obtain a release from Plaintiff Richard Livingston before providing a payoff figure to Victoria Schepps or the Defendant financial Institutions she was representing.
- 19. The Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. in providing the payoff figure wrongly included escrow monies which had been taken by payroll deduction since August of 1997 from his paycheck as part of the payoff figure.
- 20. To date the Defendant MBTA Employee G.U. has failed to ensure to provide and record a complete and correct mortgage discharge (on the jointly own property located at 15 Quarry Lane, Town of Milton, County of Norfolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts,
- 21. The Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. has faired despite repeated requests to provide Plaintiff Richard Livingston with an accurate and complete copy of the mortgage portfolio file concerning 15 Quarry Lane, Milton, MA 02186.
- 22. Most recently Plaintiff Richard Livingston was denied the ability to have his name placed on the Credit Union Ballot and/or to run for election to the Board of Trustee's of the MBTA Employee C.U.
- 23. The Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. in violation of Federal Law failed to place Plaintiff Richard Livingston on the ballot or allow him to stand for election to the Board of Trustee's because he had filed for

- Bankruptcy protection under the United States Bankruptcy Code within (5) years of the election date.
- The Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. has discriminated against 24. (Plaintiff) Richard Livingston) because he filed for Bankruptcy Code within (5) years of the election date.
- 25. Upon information and belief the Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. allowed one other person in the past who was similarly situated as Plaintiff Richard. Livingston to run for trustee without interference.
- Upon information and belief the Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. 26. has released false information and defamed Plaintiff Mr. Livingston to certain members and officers of the Credit Union.

#### IV. CAUSES OF ACTION COUNT 1 RICHARD LIVINGSTON V. VIOLATION, OF CHAPTER 93A.)

- 27. The Plaintiff Richard Livingston repeats the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 26 as if specifically repeated and further alleges:
- 28. The facts and allegations as specified above constitute deceptive and unfair business practices in violation of Chapter 93 M.G.L.A.
- 29. On May 25, 2005 the Plaintiff Richard Livingston made a demand for settlement upon the Defendant under chapter 93a.
- 30. The Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. failed to tender a reasonable settlement offer in accordance with the requirements of this statute.

Wherefore the Plaintiff Richard Livingston demands Judgment against the Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. in an amount to be determined by a Judge or Jury including a doubling or trebling of Damages as well as Attorney Fee(s) and Costs.

#### COUNT 11 **VIOLATION OF PRIVACY**

- 31. The Plaintiff Richard Livingston repeats the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 as if specifically repeated and further alleges:
- 32 The facts and allegations as specified above constitute a violation of the privacy rights as defined under state and federal statutes of the Plaintiff, Richard Livingston.

Wherefore the Plaintiff Richard Livingston demands Judgment against the Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. in an amount to be determined by a Judge or Jury.

#### COUNT 111 (VIOLTATION OF UNITED STATES BANKRUPCY CODE)

- 33. The Plaintiff Richard Livingston repeats the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 32 as if specifically repeated and further alleges:
- 34. The Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. has discriminated against the Plaintiff by failing to allow him to place his name on the election ballot for Credit Union Trustees(s) Code is a violation of Section 525(a) of the United States Bankruptcy Code.
- 35. The Defendant MBTA Employee C.U. rules and regulations and bylaws prohibiting the election of an individual who has filed for Bankruptcy protection under the United States Bankruptcy Code is a violation of Section 525(a) of the United States Bankruptcy Code and the subsequent case law interfering with the Plaintiff's right to a fresh start.
- The discrimination imposed upon the Plaintiff Richard Livingston has caused him irreparable harm with the personal and confidential matter exposed publicly in a manner to coerce, in mimidate and defame in an effort to force him to withdraw his name has denied him the right to serve as a Trustee of the M.B.T.A. Employee's Credit Union.

Wherefore the Plaintiff Richard Livingston demands Judgment against the Defendant MBTA Employee C.U in an amount to be determined by a Judge or Jury.

Respectfully Submitted Richard Livingston By his Attorney,

William E. Gately, Jr. BBO#: 549132

Law Office of William E. Gately, Jr.

400 Washington Street

P.O. Box 850920

Braintree, MA 02185 (781) 848-1200

## THE PLAINTIFF REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS									
Richard		W 8. 1 €	Employed	Chukum							
(b) County of Residence o	of First Listed Plaintiff CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	suffer							
(2)	,	5 NOTE: INLAND	CONTEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE							
	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)									
Po Bue 850120 Brentes mp 0285 Un/cuc											
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff											
(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)											
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Incorporated or Pri	ncipal Place 🗍 4 🗇 4							
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State									
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A								
		Citizen or Subject of a  Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6							
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)										
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES							
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury	1 — -	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust							
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking							
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 365 Personal Injury 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881	PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce 460 Deportation							
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander D 368 Asbestos Person	ai 🗖 640 R.R. & Truck	820 Copyrights	470 Racketeer Influenced and							
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Injury Product     Liability Liability	650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational	830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit							
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	Safety/Health  690 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service							
(Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 371 Truth in Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	B50 Securities/Commodities/							
of Veteran's Benefits  ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Personal □ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange  875 Customer Challenge							
190 Other Contract	Product Liability 385 Property Damage	e 🔲 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410 .							
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Product Liability	730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts							
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters							
<ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> </ul>	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca ☐ 442 Employment Sentence	ate 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act							
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	Accommodations  Accommodations  Accommodations  530 General	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information							
<ul> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> </ul>	Accommodations 530 General 535 Death Pensity		20 030 7009	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination							
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & O Employment 550 Civil Rights	ther		Under Equal Access to Justice							
,	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Conditio	n		☐ 950 Constitutionality of							
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights			State Statutes							
<b>.</b> `.	an "X" in One Box Only)		eferred from	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate							
	State Court Appellate Court	Reopened (spec	ify) Litigation								
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you	se 725 <b>VA</b>	al statutes unless diversity):								
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of cause:										
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: Yes No											
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER											
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD									
Co/2 > lcs			= 7/1								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			//								
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE _	MAG. JU	DGE							

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	_		ne of first party on ea	1 . '	chas Livi	nys:	ton	O.	MBI	-M_	
	<u>Ev</u>	mlyy 1	rely CV20	y Una	·	-					
2.		y in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local									
	rule 40.	1(a)(1)).									
	_	I.	160, 410, 470, 535, 1	R.23, REGARDLE	SS OF NATURE OF	SUIT.					
	_	11.	195, 196, 368, 400, 4 740, 790, 791, 820°,			-	-	-	AO 120 or AC emark or cop		
,	×,	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 3 315, 320, 330, 340, 3 380, 385, 450, 891.				1	13	49	RCL	
	<u> </u>	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 4 690, 810, 861-865, 8			640, 65	60, 660,				
		V.	150, 152, 153.								
3.			, if any, of related cas dicate the title and nu		filed case in this co			ated cas	e has been fil	ed in this	
4.	Has a p	rior actio	n between the same p	parties and based	on the same claim	ever be	en filed in	this cou			
5.	Does th	ne compla	int in this case quest	ion the constituti	onality of an act of	congres	s affectin	a the nul	olic interest?	(See 28	
•	USC §2		5455 4255		onancy or an accord	oong.co		g ale pai	_	(500 20	
	<b>16</b> 1-	45-1104				YES		NO	<b>D</b>		
	it so, is	tne U.S.A	a. or an officer, agent	or employee of t	ne U.S. a party?	YES		NO	Ħ		
6.	ls this	case requ	ired to be heard and	determined by a	district court of thre	e judge:	s pursuan	t to title :	28 USC §2284	?	
						YES		NO	<b>D</b>		
7.			les in this action, ex governmental agenc								
						YES		NO			
		A.	If yes, in which div	ision do <u>all</u> of the	non-governmental	parties	reside?				
			Eastern Division	D <sub>C</sub>	Central Division			West	ern Division		
		В.	If no, in which divi- agencies, residing	•	rity of the plaintlffs of ts reside?	or the o	nly parties	s, exclud	ing governme	ental	
			Eastern Division		Central Division			West	ern Division		
8.			of Removal - are then te sheet identifying th		nding in the state c	ourt req	uiring the	attentio	n of this Cour	t? (If yes,	
	Submit	a separa	e sheet identifying th	e monoris)		YES		NO			
(PI	LEASE T	YPE OR F	PRINT)	~ C	las the	3		5			
		'S NAME	William	T 00	74614.0x		n 10		- Fr		
	DRESS		781 3	2 : =	rain pee	Y	n K	02	00		
TE	LEPHON	NE NO	101-84)	5 - 120	2			<del></del>			
									(CategoryFor	m.wpd -5/2/05)	